162



Fig.4 Velocity maps

从图 6 可知, 钛渣流态化下床层的气泡直径随着床 高的增加在不断增加, 气泡尺寸与距分布板距离近似呈 线性函数关系, 玻璃珠床实验值拟合的线性函数关系为 d=0.6185h-2.995, 线性拟合度达到 98.05%; 同时, Price 等<sup>[18]</sup>和 Fan 等<sup>[19]</sup>也做了相似的数值实验研究, 文 中数值实验结果与他们的研究结果一致。

## 4 结 论

文中以双流体模型为基础研究了钛渣流动特性数 学模型;结合钛渣的物性,采用传统的经验公式计算了 钛渣流态化系统的最小流化速度、完全流化速度。研究 钛渣在最小流化速度和完全流化速度下的流动特性。 研究结果表明:

1)以双流体模型为基础得到的钛渣流动特性数学 模型,成功地模拟出了钛渣流态化氯化的流动特征,为 反应器设计提供数值模拟基础。





2) B 类颗粒的钛渣最小流化速度数值模拟结果显示, Wen-yu 预测公式整个床层基本出现的是乳相, 而 Grace 修正公式在 0.7 s 时能够成功地模拟出床层气泡行为。

3)完全流态化时,数值模拟表明钛渣床层首先要经历一个节涌过程,然后再进入完全流化状态。

4)完全流化状态下,文中数学模型对于钛渣流态化床层中固体矢量图和气泡尺寸大小变化规律的预测 均与相关文献研究结果一致,表明该数学模型适合钛渣流态化氯化过程数值模拟。

## 参考文献:

[1] Jin Y. Fluidization engineering principles[M]. BeiJing: Tsinghua University Press, 2001.

- [2] Sun K. Titanium extraction metallurgy physical chemistry[M]. BeiJing: Metallurgical Industry Press, 2001: 38-42.
- [3] Guo M, Li H. Review and prospect of fluidization science and technology[J]. Journal of Chemical Industry and Engineering, 2012, 64(1): 52-62.
- [4] Xu C, Yuan Z, Wang X. One dimensional modeling of multiple unit pneumatic transport reactor for producing titanium

第5期

tetrachloride. mathematical model [J]. The Chinese Journal of Process Engineering, 2005, 1:18-22.

- [5] Wen C, Yu Y H. A generalized method for predicting the minimum fluidization velocity[J]. Aiche Journal, 1966, 12(3): 610-612.
- [6] Grace J R.Taghipour F. Verification and validation of CFD models and dynamic similarity for fluidized beds[J]. Powder Technology, 2004, 139(2): 99-110.
- [7] Gupta S K, Agarwal V K, Singh S N, et al. Prediction of minimum fluidization velocity for fine tailings materials[J]. Powder Technology, 2009, 196(3): 263-271.
- [8] Rüdisüli M, Schildhauer T J, Biollaz S M A, et al. Bubble characterization in a fluidized bed by means of optical probes[J]. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 2012;56-67.
- [9] Gopalakrishnan P, Garg R, Shahnam M. CFD-DEM study of effect of bed thickness for bubbling fluidized beds[J]. Particuology, 2012, 10(5):532-541.
- [10] Gidaspow D. Multiphase flow and fluidization: continuum and kinetic theory descriptions [M]. Academic press, 1994.
- [11] Grace J R, Taghipour F. Verification and validation of CFD models and dynamic similarity for fluidized beds[J]. Powder Technology, 2004, 139(2): 99-110.
- [12] Annaland S, Van M. Critical comparison of hydrodynamic models for gas-solid fluidized beds -Part I: bubbling gas-solid fludized beds operated with a jet[J]. Chemical Engineering Science, 2005, 60(1): 57-72.
- [13] Geldart D. Types of gas fluidization[J]. Powder Technology, 1973, 7(5): 285-292.
- [14] Goldschmidt M J V, Kuipers J A M, Swaaij W P M V. Hydrodynamic modelling of dense gas-fluidised beds using the kinetic theory of granular flow: effect of coefficient of restitution on bed dynamics[J]. Chemical Engineering Science, 2001, 56(2): 571-578.
- [15] Zhou J, Zou Z, Yu A. Numerious simulation for flow characteristic in bubbling fluidized bed[J]. Journal of Materials and Metallurgy, 2007, 6(2): 126-129.
- [16] 杨太阳,王安仁,张锁江,等. 气固鼓泡流化床的流动特性数值模拟[J]. 计算机与应用化学,2005,22(03):207-210. YANG Taiyang, WANG Anren, ZHANG Suojang, et al. Numerical simulation for fluid dynamics in a gas-solid bubbling fluidized bed[J]. Computer and Applied Chemistry, 2005(3): 207-210.(in Chinese)
- [17] 林亮成,郑忠,陈伟,等.不同曳力模型对鼓泡床内气固两相流的模拟研究[J].化学反应工程与工艺,2010,26: 390-398.

LIN Liangcheng, ZHEN Zhong, CHEN Wei, et al. A simulation study of gas'solid two phase flow in a bubbling fluidized bed with various drag force models[J]. Chemical Reaction Engineering and Technology, 2011 (5): 390-398.(in Chinese)

- [18] Price G, Chandrasekaran B, Hulme I, et al. Comparison of 2-D and 3-D CFD simulations of bubbling fluidized beds with x-ray fluoroscopy and imaging experiments[J]. Powder Technology, 2011, 116: 142-154.
- [19] Fan X, Yang Z, Parker D J, et al. Prediction of bubble behaviour in fluidised beds based on solid motion and flow structure[J]. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2008, 140: 358-369.

(编辑 詹燕平)